Audit Recommendations Summary of Assurance

March 2012

| | Full | Substantial | Limited | Little |
|--|------|-------------|---------|--------|
| Chief Executives Directorate | | V | | |
| Resources and Support Services Directorate | | | V | |
| Operational Services Directorate | | | | V |
| Regeneration and Development Directorate | | V | | |

Opinions are classified as:

| Full | The Internal Audit did not reveal any control weaknesses based on the samples at the time of the audit | 94% - 100% |
|-------------|--|---|
| Substantial | The Internal Audit identified areas that required necessary action to avoid exposure to significant risk | 70% - 93% or target changed > 2 on medium risk recommendations |
| Limited | The Internal Audit identified areas where it was imperative to act to avoid exposure to risk | 50% - 69% or target changed > 2 on high risk recommendations |
| Little | The Internal Audit identified very little evidence of key controls being in place or a repetition of evidence that known action has not taken place to avoid exposure to high risk i.e.: as identified in previous audits. This exposes the Council to high risks that should have been managed. | Below 50% |

Full assurance can be given where the Council achieves 95% of all recommendations implemented as the agreed performance measure for 2011/12.

Where target dates for the implementation of recommendations are changed or renegotiated we cannot give our full assurance. If the ongoing risk was considered as:

High Risk: (action that is considered imperative to ensure that the authority is not exposed to high risks; (Implemented within 1 month))

Medium Risk: (action that is considered necessary to avoid exposure to significant risks: (Implemented within 3 months))

By changing the date the risk is not being managed and therefore you may wish to seek additional assurance as to the security of the controls in place.